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Foreword

The drawing of district boundaries for state legislatures and congressional seats is one of the most significant events in American politics. In California in the 1970's the act proved so intense that the Legislature and the Governor, charged by the Constitution with establishing new district boundaries following each decennial census, were unable to agree and—in the words of the Court—reached an impasse.

When the Supreme Court of California was forced to enter the picture, it appointed three Special Masters to hold public hearings with respect both to criteria for districting and proposed plans to implement such criteria, and to recommend to the Court plans for possible adoption together with their underlying rationale. This the Masters proceeded to do, following their appointment in May 1973. Their report was filed with the Supreme Court on August 31, 1973, and—with the slightest modifications—adopted by the Court in its decision in November 1973.

In their report, the Masters noted that "A request has been received from the University of California Institute of Governmental Studies in Berkeley that its facilities be used as a depository of all material lodged with the Masters, with the understanding that the materials received will be safely stored, catalogued and made available for public and scholarly use." The Masters went on to recommend that "pertinent materials that have been lodged with the Masters be released to the Institute," and the Supreme Court approved this recommendation.

The resulting materials, described in the following inventory, do not constitute a complete account of the tumultuous history of redistricting in the 1970's. Such an account will have to rely on legislative reports, newspapers and periodicals, and other testimony not included in the Masters' records. But the Masters' papers do provide an essential element in this overall history. It is our hope that this collection will stimulate and aid the necessary scholarship and writing which will inform the citizenry of the background and implications of both the legislative-executive impasse and the Court's resolution of it.

Eugene C. Lee

Preface

The collection of papers deposited in the Institute of Governmental Studies consists of the Register of Official Records and Written Presentations, together with the items and exhibits entered on it; legal documents and other information presented to or requested by the Masters concerning the consolidated cases and some earlier related cases and efforts at reapportionment; office files; and working papers, maps, etc. produced by the Masters in the course of their work.

In this inventory the material is divided into four sections, with brief introductions explaining the contents and their arrangement. The "Register of Official Records and Written Presentations" is reproduced as Attachment 1 since it was the guide used in identifying and arranging the documents listed in Section 1. The chronologies of legislative efforts at reapportionment and judicial procedures which culminated in the acceptance of the Special Masters' plan by the Supreme Court are presented as background and to provide an indication of other official sources of information essential to an understanding of the problem.

The work of identifying, organizing and listing the documents was accomplished by Robert H. McDonald, with the assistance of Chris Martininak and William Goodman, formerly Research Assistants to the Chief Counsel for the Special Masters on Reapportionment. In spite of their best efforts they could not identify the source and/or intended purpose of some items. These are included in Section 4, Miscellaneous, and described as specifically as available information permits.

Dorothy Simpson
Special Masters on Reapportionment

Martin J. Coughlin, Presiding
Harold F. Collins
Alvin E. Weinberger
Paul L. McKaskle, Chief Counsel

Chronology of Legislative Attempts at Reapportioning

This includes only those bills, resolutions or Constitutional amendments which passed at least one house. Documents are listed in order of date of first reading.

1970 (Regular session)

January 7    AB 105, An act ... relating to reapportionment [requires county clerks to provide information for the use of the Legislature or legislative committees for use in reapportionment]
            January 7, read first time.
            March 10, passed and sent to Senate.
            June 19, passed and sent to Assembly.
            July 3, signed by Governor.

1971 (Regular session)

January 4    SB 17, An act ... relating to reapportionment [reapportions Congressional districts]
            January 4, read first time.
            November 29, passed and sent to Assembly.
            January 3, 1972, from Assembly without further action.

January 4    SB 18, An act ... relating to reapportionment [reapportions Senate and Assembly districts]
            January 4, read first time.
            November 11, passed and sent to Assembly.
            November 23, passed.
            November 24, sent to Senate.
            December 2, died on file.

December 4   Regular session adjourns without passing valid legislation as required by Constitution. Reapportionment Commission activated. (See Masters' Chronology).
[Legislative day Dec. 2]

1971 (First Extra session)

December 7    AB 12, An act ... relating to reapportionment, and calling for an election, to take effect immediately [reapportions Assembly districts and provides Presidential and direct primary elections shall be held on June 13, 1972]
            December 7, read first time.
            December 18, passed and sent to Senate.
December 20, passed and sent to Assembly.
December 30, vetoed by Governor.
January 4, 1972, veto sustained.

**December 7 AB 16,** An act ... relating to reapportionment and calling an election, to take effect immediately [reapportions Congressional districts]
   December 7, read first time.
   December 18, passed and sent to Senate.
   December 20, passed and sent to Assembly.
   December 30, amendments concurred in.
   December 30, vetoed by Governor.
   January 4, 1972, veto sustained.

**December 7 ACR 1,** Relative to reapportionment [reapportions Assembly districts]
   December 7, read first time.
   December 18, adopted and sent to Senate.
   December 20, adopted and sent to Assembly.
   December 22, recorded by Secretary of State.

**December 7 ACR 2,** Relative to reapportionment [reapportions legislative districts]
   December 7, read first time.
   December 18, adopted and sent to Senate.
   December 20, stricken from Senate file.

**December 7 SB 2,** An act relating to Senatorial reapportionment and calling an election to take effect immediately [reapportions state Senate districts, provides that Presidential and direct primary elections shall be held on June 13, 1972]
   December 7, read first time.
   December 18, passed and sent to Assembly.
   December 20, passed and sent to Senate.
   December 20, Senate concurs in Assembly amendment.
   December 30, vetoed by Governor.
   March 1, 1972, died on file (veto stands).

**December 7 SB 5,** An act ... relating to Congressional reapportionment, and calling an election, to take effect immediately [reapportions Congressional districts]
   December 7, read first time.
   December 17, passed and to Assembly.
   March 1, 1972, from Assembly without further action.

**December 19 SCR 2,** Relative to reapportionment [reapportions Senatorial districts]
   December 19, read first time, adopted and sent to Assembly.
   December 20, adopted and sent to Senate.
   December 22, filed with Secretary of State.

**1972 (Regular session)**

**January 11 SB 23,** An act ... relating to reapportionment [reapportions Senatorial districts]
   January 11, read first time.
   May 2, passed and sent to Assembly.
Chronology of Judicial Procedures Leading to Reapportionment

December 4, 1971  [Legislative date December 2] - Legislature adjourned without passing a reapportionment plan as required by the Constitution. Reapportionment Commission empowered to act pursuant to Article IV, Section 6 of the California Constitution.

December 15, 1971 Reapportionment Commission convened. Legislature v. Reinecke (Sac.7917) filed with Supreme Court.

December 27, 1971 Brown v. Reagan (Sac.7919) filed with Supreme Court.

January 6, 1972 Members of the U.S. House of Representatives v. Reagan (Sac.7923) filed with Supreme Court.

January 18, 1972 The Supreme Court held that the Reapportionment Commission had no jurisdiction, and that the Governor's vetoes stand. Unless the Legislature enacted valid reapportionment statutes in time for the 1972 elections, the present statutes apportioning the Legislature would remain in effect; Congressional districts would be those set forth in AB 16, 1971 First Extraordinary Session. The Court retained jurisdiction to draft new reapportionment plans if the Legislature failed to enact valid legislation by the close of its 1972 regular session. (Consolidated cases, Sac.7917, 7919, 7923).

May 10, 1972 The Court modified its order of January 18 to permit the Legislature to act either in a regular or special session as long as it did so by the end of 1972.

March 23, 1973 A Special Order of the Court outlined the procedure it would follow in developing new district lines. Three Special Masters were to be appointed to hold
hearings and submit recommendations by August 31, the Court to begin hearing oral arguments after thirty days (to allow time to submit briefs). The Court would consider halting its proceedings should the Legislature adopt valid plans before the Court completed its work.

May 1, 1973
The Special Masters were appointed and the Presiding Master designated.

August 31, 1973
The Special Masters presented their report and recommendations.

November 28, 1973
The Court announced its decision to implement the recommendations of the Special Masters, with minor changes only.
## Section 1. Documents Listed in Register of Official Records

This section consists of a list of the items on the Register, with related documents, arranged by number assigned on the Register, with full titles as shown on the documents. For a reproduction of the "Register of Official Records and Written Presentations" as prepared by the Masters' staff, see Attachment 1.

[This document is best viewed using Netscape or Internet Explorer version 4 or higher, or another browser which understands style sheets.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Document</th>
<th>Presentor</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Register No. 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Proof of Service by mail], May 25, 1973</td>
<td>Teela Baca</td>
<td>May 25, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Proof of Service by mail], June 29, 1973</td>
<td>Winifred L. Hepperle</td>
<td>July 2, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Register No. 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Written Presentation Filed as a Prerequisite to an Oral Presentation ... Peace and Freedom Party, Sonoma County Central Committee, June 12, 1973</td>
<td>Irvin E. Sutley Jr.</td>
<td>June 8, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Register No. 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Oral Presentation of Charles E. Bott, Mayor of the City of Stockton, June 8, 1973</td>
<td>Paul F. Mordy, Assistant City Attorney</td>
<td>June 11, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Register No. 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Knapp Plan</td>
<td>Robert W. Naylor</td>
<td>June 25, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written Presentation of Gordon Knapp (Volume I) Part One: Criteria of Reapportionment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written Presentation of Gordon Knapp (Volume II) Part Two: Critique of Temporary Congressional Districts; Part Three: Proposed Congressional District Plan (Knapp Plan)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit A: Maps of Knapp Plan of Congressional Districts [with markings]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of California (U.S. Geological Survey)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970 Census Tracts, City of San Diego and Vicinity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970 Census Tracts, [Greater Los Angeles Area]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit B: Listings of Census Units and Populations [computer printout]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envelope of Material Sent to All County and Local Government Officials re Knapp Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of Redistricting Using Only Good Government Criteria [maps]

San Francisco Bay Area - Knapp Reapportionment Plan, Congressional Districts, June 1973 and Congressional Districts, Assembly Bill no.16, 1971 First Extraordinary Session [maps]

Los Angeles and Vicinity - Knapp Reapportionment Plan, Congressional Districts, June 1973 and Congressional Districts, Assembly Bill no.16, 1971 First Extraordinary Session [maps]

[California] Knapp Reapportionment Plan

Congressional Districts, June 1973 and Congressional Districts, Assembly Bill no.16, 1971, First Extraordinary Session [maps]

Memorandum to Special Masters, June 27, 1973

Factual Data Sheet

Letter to All County and Local Government Officials

Press Release

Resolution

Supplemental Presentation of Gordon Knapp

Second Supplemental Presentation of Gordon Knapp

Related Documents

Letter to Special Masters re Gordon Knapp--Proposed Congressional Districting, June 25, 1973

Memorandum to Special Masters re "the question of seniority with regards to the congressional delegation", June 29, 1973

Letter to Paul L. McKaskle re Gordon Knapp--Proposed Congressional Districting, July 17, 1973

Memo to Justice Coughlin re Naylor and Knapp, July 19, 1973

KNAPPCON District 01----50, 1972 ... 1970 ... 1968 General Election [computer printout marked Knapp”]

Register No. 5

Statement of Linda G. Bond for the University of California Student Lobby, June 12, Linda G. Bond, June 12, 1973 Co-Director

Exhibit No.1: Congressional District 36 (1972) [map]

Exhibit No.2: Congressional District 36 (1972) [map]

Exhibit No.3: Central Area Metropolitan Los Angeles [street map]

Register No. 6

Position Statement on Reapportionment, February 1971

County Clerks Association of California June 12, 1973

Register No. 7

Written Presentation of County of San Joaquin, a Political Subdivision of the State of California; County of Stanislaus, a Political Subdivision of the State of California; City of Stockton, a Municipal Corporation; City of Lodi, a Municipal Corporation, City of Tracy, a Municipal Corporation; City of Manteca, a Municipal [Various County and City Counsels] June 12, 1973
Corporation; City of Ripon, a Municipal Corporation; and Escalon, a Municipal Corporation [with 10 maps], June 11, 1973

Specific Plan of Reapportionment Proposed by the County of San Joaquin, a Political Subdivision of the State of California; County of Stanislaus, a Political Subdivision of the State of California; City of Stockton, a Municipal Corporation; City of Lodi, a Municipal Corporation; City of Tracy, a Municipal Corporation; City of Manteca, a Municipal Corporation; City of Ripon, a Municipal Corporation; and Escalon, a Municipal Corporation

Exhibit A: [map], July 10, 1973

Register No. 8
Outline of Lieutenant Governor Ed Reinecke's Testimony Scheduled Los Angeles, June 10, 1973 (SAC. 7917), June 13, 1973

Ronald B. Frankum
June 14, 1973

Register No. 9

Mark B. DiDuca, Mayor
June 15, 1973

Register No. 10
Evidence and Statement by T. Jim Gibson; The Wall Street Political Association, June 18, 1973

Loren Miller, Jr., and Dion G. Morrow
June 18, 1973

Register No. 11
Halliwell Plan
Halliwell Testimony Before Special Masters on Reapportionment

Michael J. Halliwell
June 18, 1973

[Maps marked as follows]

1 Statewide Assembly
2 San Francisco Bay Assembly
3 Los Angeles County Assembly
4 Southern California Assembly
5 Statewide Senate
6 San Francisco Bay Senate
7 Los Angeles County Senate
8 Southern California Senate
9 Statewide Congress
10 San Francisco Bay Congress
11 Los Angeles County Congress
12 Southern California Congress

Revised Halliwell Plan [with maps numbered I----IX

Michael J. Halliwell
August 1, 1973
[Group of 12 maps labeled "Revised Halliwell Plan" with markings, rolled]

California, County Subdivisions--Census County Divisions and Places

Assembly Districts [collaged]
Senatorial Districts [collaged]
Congressional Districts [collaged]

Census Tract Outline Map of San Diego County

Assembly Districts
Senatorial Districts
Congressional Districts

Census Tract Outline Map of the [San Francisco] Bay Area and Vicinity

Assembly Districts
Senatorial Districts
Congressional Districts

Census Tract Outline Map of the Los Angeles Five County Area

Assembly Districts
Senatorial Districts
Congressional Districts

Related Documents

[Photocopy set of the group of maps listed immediately above, unlabeled, with markings and rolled]

Explanatory Note

Plan for Nonpartisan Reapportionment [part of which corresponds to the Revised Halliwell Plan]

[Group of 4 maps]

See also Section 2, Documents Relating to Specific Legal Cases, October 1, 1973

Register No. 12
Letter from Claremont City Council [with enclosure], June 15, 1973

Keith F. Mulrooney, City Manager

June 18, 1973

Register No. 13
Statement from Los Angeles County Coordinating Council, National Women's Political Caucus re Reapportionment: the Effect of Certain Proposed Criteria for Reapportionment on the Political Power of Women As an Identifiable Group of Persons in California, June 18, 1973

Nina R. Chomsky, Chairperson pro tem

June 18, 1973

Register No. 14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register No. 15</th>
<th>Resolution No. 4344 (City Council Series) a Resolution of the City of Santa Monica Opposing Assembly Bill 8, March 13, 1973</th>
<th>City Council of Santa Monica</th>
<th>June 18, 1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 16</td>
<td>Clerk's Minutes, Sacramento Hearing, June 12, 1973 [schedule of testimony only] for reporter's transcript see no.89.</td>
<td>William Goodman</td>
<td>June 20, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 17</td>
<td>Presentation for 35th District of Orange County, June 15, 1973</td>
<td>John V. Briggs, Assemblyman</td>
<td>June 20, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 18</td>
<td>Resolution of Orange County, June 13, 1973</td>
<td>Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>June 20, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Documents</td>
<td>Letter from Orange County Division, League of California Cities, June 18, 1973</td>
<td>Winston R. Updegraff, Executive Secretary</td>
<td>June 18, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Attachment No.1: Envelope with 3 maps]</td>
<td>[California Rural Legal Assistance]</td>
<td>August 8, 1973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Attachment No.2: Envelope with 2 maps]</td>
<td>Declaration of Nancy R. Myers, August 2, 1973</td>
<td>[4 unidentified printouts]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Maps numbered] Garcia Plan No. 1----3 [different from attachment No.1 above]</td>
<td>Related Documents</td>
<td>Stanley Wm. Levy, Beverly Hills Bar Association Law Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter to Special Masters [with 3 maps], June 26, 1973</td>
<td>DEMOG 12: 19 PDT 06/09/73 [computer printout]</td>
<td>Chicano Community ... in Los Angeles County ...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Register No. 21

**Senate Plan**

**Designation of Witnesses to Appear at Hearing on Behalf of the Senate**

| Robert S. Daggett | June 21, 1973 |

**Brief of the Senate of the State of California Recommending Adoption of an Approved Bipartisan Reapportionment Plan for the Senate**

| Robert S. Daggett | June 21, 1973 |

**Exhibit A: Senate Bill 195 and Its Legislative History, 6 vol.**

**Exhibit B-1: Maps Showing New Districts Provided by the Senate**

**Exhibit B-2: Maps Showing Senate Districts As They Now Exist Before Reapportionment [39 maps]**

### Register No. 22

**Office of Senator John Stull, Weekly Column for the Week of May 27, Through June 2, 1973 [filed at San Diego Hearing]**

| Gehres and Sillas | June 22, 1973 |

### Register No. 23

**Testimony of Assemblyman Bill Bond, San Diego, June 22, 1973**

| Assemblyman Bond | June 22, 1973 |

### Register No. 24

**Objection to Proposed Assembly District Line in Humboldt County [with cover letter], June 21, 1973**

| Fred J. Moore, Jr., County Clerk | June 22, 1973 |

### Register No. 25

**Presentation of Contra Costa County Democratic Central Committee, June 23, 1973**

| Minot W. Tripp, Administrative Secretary | June 25, 1973 |

### Register No. 26

**Statement of Assemblyman Carlos Bee (13th Districts, June 22, 1973**

| Assemblyman Bee | June 25, 1973 |

### Register No. 27

**Application for Written and Oral Presentation and Oral Argument, June 22, 1973**

| Eugene Rippen and Wayne F. Rippen | June 25, 1973 |

**Application for Leave to File Complaint in Intervention, December 8, 1972, (SAC 7917)**

| Eugene Rippen and Wayne F. Rippen | June 25, 1973 |

**Complaint for Right to Vote and Equal Representation and Memorandum of Points and Authorities, December 8, 1972, (SAC 7917)**

| Eugene Rippen and Wayne F. Rippen | June 25, 1973 |

**Related Documents**

**Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Petition for Writ of Prohibition and Memorandum of Points and Authorities, January 14, 1972**

<p>| Eugene Rippen and Wayne F. Rippen | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register No. 29</th>
<th>Testimony of Republican County Central Committee of San Francisco</th>
<th>J. Max Moore, Chairman</th>
<th>June 25, 1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appendix (vol. I of II) [detailed analysis of the adjustments to the petitioners request]</td>
<td>Wyman, Bautzer, Rothman and Kuchel</td>
<td>August 3, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appendix (vol. II of II) [maps]</td>
<td>Wyman, Bautzer, Rothman and Kuchel</td>
<td>August 3, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Related Documents</strong></td>
<td>Letter to Paul McKaskle [enclosures, copies of court decisions], June 19, 1973</td>
<td>Wyman, Bautzer, Rothman and Kuchel</td>
<td>June 22, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Register No. 32</strong></td>
<td>Resolution Pertaining to State Redistricting Plan, Resolution No. 73-379, County of Santa Barbara, June 11, 1973</td>
<td>Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>June 26, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Register No. 33</strong></td>
<td>Written Presentation of Governor Ronald Reagan</td>
<td>Governor Reagan</td>
<td>June 27, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 35</td>
<td>Presentation by the City of Pacifica, n.d.</td>
<td>Aubrey M. Lumley, III, Mayor</td>
<td>June 28, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 36</td>
<td>Testimony of Mayor of Whittier, June 28, 1973</td>
<td>Blake P. Sanborn, Mayor</td>
<td>June 28, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 37</td>
<td>Statement of Daly City</td>
<td>Victor G. Kyriakis, Vice Mayor</td>
<td>June 28, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 38</td>
<td>Presentation by Board of Supervisors of San Bernardino County [1 map with original copy only], June 28, 1973</td>
<td>Ruben S. Ayala, Supervisor, Fourth Dist.</td>
<td>June 28, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 39</td>
<td>Statement for Marin County, June 28, 1973</td>
<td>Peter R. Arrigoni, Supervisor</td>
<td>June 28, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 40</td>
<td>State Election Districts [map]</td>
<td>Dr. Nancy Jewell Cross, People's Advocate</td>
<td>June 29, 1973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Documents**

- Senate Journal, June 19, 1973, pp. 3564-3565 [Xerox copy]
- [Copy of map showing towns of Baldwin Park, Covina, West Covina, etc.]
- [Complete SB 195 Assembly Plan]
- SB 195 Amendments
- Assembly Districts As Proposed in SB 195 [statistical list]
Register No. 42
Statement of Assemblyman John Francis Foran, June 29, 1973
Assemblyman Foran June 29, 1973

Register No. 43
Declaration of Richard Alatorre, June 18, 1973
Declaration of Mervyn M. Dymally, June 18, 1973
Declaration of Nicholas C. Petris, June 20, 1973
Declaration of George R. Moscone, June 26, 1973
Declaration of Armando O. Rodriguez, June 26, 1973

Register No. 44
Presentation by Board of Directors, Pomona Chamber of Commerce re Reapportionment Criteria, June 29, 1973
G. Grenville Whyte, President June 29, 1973

Register No. 45
The problem Facing California, Together with the Solution, June 29, 1973
Robert K. Ballard, Attorney June 29, 1973

Register No. 46
Statements for the City of Pomona, June 29, 1973
Ray J. Lepire, Mayor June 29, 1973

Register No. 47
Statement of the City of Redwood City and Supporting Declaration of Paul C. Keckley, Mayor of the City of Redwood City, June 28, 1973
David E. Schricker, City Attorney June 29, 1973

Related Documents
Summary of Oral Presentation, June 28, 1973
David E. Schricker, June 29, 1973
City Attorney

Register No. 48
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register No. 49</th>
<th>Written Presentation for the City of Fremont</th>
<th>Jack A. Pimental, Mayor</th>
<th>June 29, 1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 50</td>
<td>Statement of Senator Arlen Gregorio, 12th Senatorial District, n.d.</td>
<td>Senator Gregorio</td>
<td>July 2, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 51</td>
<td>Written Presentation of the Monterey County Republican Central Committee, June 28, 1973</td>
<td>Louis C. Moore, Attorney</td>
<td>July 3, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 52</td>
<td>Brief of the Assembly Minority Leadership [with exhibits attached], July 2, 1973</td>
<td>Halley and Cornell</td>
<td>July 3, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 53</td>
<td>Brief of Assemblyman John E. Thurman's Recommended Delineation of the Thirtieth Assembly District, June 27, 1973</td>
<td>Assemblyman Thurman</td>
<td>July 3, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 54</td>
<td>Statement of Roger F. Winchester, June 28, 1973</td>
<td>Roger F. Winchester</td>
<td>July 3, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 55</td>
<td>Statement of Assemblyman Carlos Bee, July 6, 1973</td>
<td>Assemblyman Bee</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 56</td>
<td>Presentation of Pertinent Material on the Assembly Reapportionment of San Francisco by Mexican American Political Association, July 3, 1973</td>
<td>Eduardo Sandoval, President</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 57</td>
<td>Brief of Walnut Creek, n.d.</td>
<td>James D. Hill, Mayor</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 58</td>
<td>[Unused]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 59</td>
<td>Appeal to Disapprove Gerrymandering in AB 165, Especially as to the Second Senatorial District, June 30, 1973</td>
<td>Velma Smith</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 60</td>
<td>Statement of Assemblyman Bill Greene, July 6, 1973</td>
<td>Assemblyman</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No.</td>
<td>Document Title</td>
<td>Authors/Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Oral Argument of Senator Milton Marks with Points and Authorities in Support Thereof</td>
<td>Senator Marks</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Testimony by Assemblyman Walter M. Ingalls</td>
<td>Assemblyman Ingalls</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Letters to Assemblyman Daniel Boatwright, 10th Assembly District re Reapportionment</td>
<td>[Various Constituents]</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Application of the City of Petaluma and the City of Sonoma for Permission to File Brief As Amicus Curiae, and Brief (SAC 7919)</td>
<td>William T. Bagley and Arthur V. Azevedo</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Summary of Comments</td>
<td>Ernest LaCoste</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Related Documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Letter to Justice Coughlin re Reapportionment, June 29, 1973</td>
<td>Ernest LaCoste</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Senator Mervyn M. Dymally Plan</td>
<td>Senator Dymally</td>
<td>July 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statement by State Senator Mervyn M. Dymally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplementary Data and Documentation, June 6, 1973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A Report on Reapportionment of the State Senate As Proposed in Senate Bill No. 63, Dymally. Includes Computer Print-out with Tract Listings and Other Documentation, Prepared by the Staff of Elections and Reapportionment, DS Batch Job 01 ENR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Claremont Institute Plan</td>
<td>Alan Heslop, Director</td>
<td>July 11, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Letter from Institute of State and Local Government, Department of Political Science, Claremont Men's College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reapportionment -- Step 1 [computer printout]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assembly Reapportionment [maps, folded]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Fresno]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Greater Los Angeles Area]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[San Diego Area]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 69</td>
<td>Written Presentation of the City of Fremont, n.d.</td>
<td>Jack A. Pimental, Mayor</td>
<td>July 9, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 70</td>
<td>Presentation of the Republican Central Committee of Los Angeles County, July 5, 1973 [photograph of Santa Monica Bay with original copy only]</td>
<td>Alan MacQuoid, Chairman</td>
<td>July 9, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 71</td>
<td>Presentation of Robert F. Barry, July 6, 1973</td>
<td>Robert F. Barry</td>
<td>July 9, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 72</td>
<td>Statement of Senator John Stull, July 8, 1973</td>
<td>Senator Stull</td>
<td>July 10, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 75</td>
<td>Statement of Representative Paul N. McCloskey, Jr., n.d.</td>
<td>Representative McCloskey</td>
<td>July 10, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No. 76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Resolution No. 73-65 of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Napa</td>
<td>Napa County Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>July 10, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Written Presentation of the City of Roseville</td>
<td>George A. Buljan</td>
<td>July 11, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Recorder's Transcript of the Proceedings in San Diego</td>
<td>Phyllis Wrigley</td>
<td>July 20, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Written Presentation by John W. Stark</td>
<td>John W. Stark</td>
<td>July 10, 1973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Related Documents**

- Statement of Lola Cade [with notation "and signers of original copies"], n.d.
- Letter Supporting Senate Bill 62, n.d.
- Letter from Institute of State and Local Government, Department of Political Science, Claremont Men's College, July 10, 1973 and Summary of Claremont Plan, July 10, 1973
- Senate Bill No. 195, Amended in Assembly June 5, 1973
- Negroes as a Percent of the Total Population in the Los Angeles Five County Area by Census Tracts -- 1970 [maps]

**Exhibits**

- Exhibit 9: Map of the City of La Mesa
- Exhibit No. 4: Political Participation of Mexican Americans in California: a Report of the California State Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights, August 1971
- Exhibit No. 5: Community of Venice [map]
- Exhibit No. 6: [Group of documents]
- Exhibit No. 7: Proposed Assembly Redistricting As It Would Affect Claremont [map], June 17, 1973
- Exhibit No. 8: Letter from Congressman Chet Holifield to Ray Rodriguez [and 7 maps], March 20, 1973

**Register No. 84**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Letter from Reapportionment Research Committee, Fairfax Democratic Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[with 1 map and 1 computer printout], July 23, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chairman Lenore Wax, July 26, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Letter from Democratic Women of Orange County [with 1 map], July 26, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>President Billie F. McPeek, July 30, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Assembly Critique of Beverly Plan and CISLOG Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Letter, July 25, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carl D'Agostino and Michael B. Berman August 1, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assembly Reapportionment Committee Analysis of Beverly Modifications to SB 195 [computer printout], n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assembly Reapportionment Committee of the CISLOG Plan [computer printout]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peggy K. Kirschner, C.S.R., August 23, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Documents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press Release #349 re Governor's Veto of SB 195, June 28, 1973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorandum re Hearings of June 28-29 -- Salient Issues, n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Letter re Boundaries of &quot;Communities of Interest&quot; [County of Los Angeles],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from Western Center on Law and Poverty, June 20, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stanton J. Price, August 6, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Reporter's Transcript of Hearing, Sacramento, June 12, 1973, August 15, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jack W. Moncrief, C.S.R., August 20, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Documents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Mike D. Antonovich, n.d. [different from testimony], n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Letter to Justice Coughlin re the Division of San Mateo County, August 21, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senator Milton Marks, August 23, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peggy K., August 23, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Register No. 94                        | Affidavit of Mailing ... a Copy of the Report and Recommendations of Special Masters on Reapportionment, August 31, 1973 | Teela Baca | n.d. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register No. 95</th>
<th>Requests to Appear for Oral Argument Before the Supreme Court on October 30, 1973 [Various Dates]</th>
<th>Various Correspondents</th>
<th>[Various Dates]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Related Documents</td>
<td>Communications Regarding Masters' Report [Various Dates]</td>
<td>[Various Correspondents]</td>
<td>Sept. 11-Nov. 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Letter from Automobile Club of Southern California, September 18, 1973</td>
<td>William W. Escherich, Mgr., Travel Research and Publications</td>
<td>Pete Wilson, Mayor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Register No. 96                        | Telegram from City of San Diego, September 28, 1973                                             |                         |                 |

| Register No. 97                        | Press Clippings                                                                                  | [Various Publications] | [Various Dates] |
## Section 2. Documents Relating to Specific Legal Cases

Documents are arranged by case number and by date of filing.

[This document is best viewed using Netscape or Internet Explorer version 4 or higher, or another browser which understands style sheets.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Document</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SACRAMENTO NO. 7679/7681 [No further identification]</td>
<td>Conrad G. Tuohey</td>
<td>January 4, 1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Map of San Bernardino and Orange Counties, with markings],</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACRAMENTO NO. 7814</td>
<td>PHIL SILVER, et al., Petitioners,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RONALD REAGAN, as Governor, etc., et al., Respondents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ABE VICKTER, et al., Petitioners,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>v.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RONALD REAGAN, as Governor, etc., et al., Respondents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 8, 1967</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Change Plan [pamphlet]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB No. 2 (1967, 2nd Extraordinary Session)</td>
<td>William T. Bagley, Assemblyman</td>
<td>November 9, 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter to Chief Justice re &quot;proposal for drawing the boundary line between the 1st and 6th Congressional Districts&quot; [map], November 7, 1967</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB No. 3 (1967, 2nd Extraordinary Session)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendments Req. #27182</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendments Req. #27266</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Reapportionment Plan of California's Congressional Districts [statistical</td>
<td>Jack R. Fenton, Assemblyman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SACRAMENTO NO. 7917

**THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Petitioners,**

v.

**ED REINECKE, as Lieutenant Governor of the State of California, et al., Respondents.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affidavit of Service by Mail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendments to SB No. 2 (1967, 2nd Extraordinary Session) Req. #27176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County [map]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal for Reapportionment of Congressional Districts Including the County of San Bernardino [map], November 10, 1967</td>
<td>County Supervisors</td>
<td>November 10, 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Plan for Reapportionment of Congressional Districts and Brief [with supporting documentation and maps], n.d.</td>
<td>Joseph A. Ball</td>
<td>November 10, 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SACRAMENTO NO. 7917</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Petitioners,</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED REINECKE, as Lieutenant Governor of the State of California, et al., Respondents.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SACRAMENTO NO. 7917</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Petitioners,</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ED REINECKE, as Lieutenant Governor of the State of California, et al., Respondents.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SACRAMENTO NO. 7917</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Author/Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of Ed Reinecke to Intervene or Participate as Amici Curiae,</td>
<td>John V. Diepenbrock  December 22, 1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Senate to Intervene as Parties or to Participate as</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amici Curiae, December 27, 1971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter to Chief Justice Wright re SAC No. 7917, December 27, 1971</td>
<td>Herman F. Selvin  December 27, 1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application for Order to File Complaint in Intervention by Way of</td>
<td>Richards, Watson and Dreyfuss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return and Answer to Alternative Writ of Mandate, with Complaint;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, and Order Thereon,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 1971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petitioners' Reply to Amicus Curiae Memorandum and Briefs, n.d.</td>
<td>Herman F. Selvin  January 3, 1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter from City of Simi Valley re California Reapportionment Plan</td>
<td>Verne H. Tindell, City Attorney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for Assembly and Senatorial Districts, January 14, 1972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substitution of Attorney for Respondent Reinecke, June 6, 1973</td>
<td>Supreme Court  June 11, 1973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SACRAMENTO 7919</strong></td>
<td><strong>EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., Secretary of State of the State of California, Petitioner,</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>v.</strong></td>
<td><strong>RONALD REAGAN, Governor of the State of California</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Amicus Briefs in #7919 [handwritten list]</td>
<td>[None]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Memorandum of Points and Authorities,</td>
<td>Daniel Hays Lowenstein and December 27, 1971 Edmund G. Brown, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 27, 1971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Mandamus, n.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief of Senators John L. Harmer and Fred W. Marler, Jr., on Behalf</td>
<td>Robert S. Daggett  December 30, 1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Themselves and Other Republican Members of the California State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate, Urging That the Petition Be Dismissed for Lack of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indispensable parties or Jurisdiction, n.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of Senators John L. Harmer and Fred W. Marler, Jr., on</td>
<td>Robert S. Daggett  December 30, 1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behalf of Themselves and Other Members of the California State Senate,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to Participate as Amici Curiae on the Side of Respondent,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 29, 1971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Points and Authorities in Opposition to the Issuance of an</td>
<td>Evelle J. Younger, Attorney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Writ of Mandamus, December 30, 1971</td>
<td>General  December 31, 1971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of Robert Monagan and John Stull for Permission to File</td>
<td>Halley and Cornell  January 3, 1972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief as Amici Curiae and Order Thereon, and Brief, December 31, 1971</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits A, B and C, n.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter to Chief Justice Wright re Brown vs. Reagan SAC. 7919 from</td>
<td>Daniel H. Lowenstein  January 6, 1972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of State, January 6, 1972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of Ed Reinecke to Intervene or Participate as Amicus</td>
<td>John V. Diepenbrock  January 6, 1972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curiae, Order Thereon, Response of Ed Reinecke, January 5, 1972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix I to Response of Ed Reinecke, the CSI [Compass Systems Inc.]</td>
<td>John V. Diepenbrock  January 6, 1972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reapportionment Plan [tables and maps], n.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix II to Response of Ed Reinecke, Comparison of CSI Plan with Petitioner's Plan [maps], n.d.

Petition for Leave to Intervene for Relief Against Both Petitioner and Respondent (in behalf of ... Alfred Alquist et al.); and Memorandum of Points and Authorities, January 7, 1972

Application of Michael Halliwell for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 14, 1972

Application of City of Concord for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, in Opposition to Issuance of Writ of Mandate, and Amicus Curiae Brief in Opposition to Issuance of Writ of Mandate, January 10, 1972

Application of City of Pinole for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, in Opposition to Issuance of Writ of Mandate, and Amicus Curiae Brief in Opposition to Issuance of Writ of Mandate, January 10, 1972

Application of Nathaniel Bates for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 10, 1972

Application for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, on Behalf of: the Valley Round Table, San Fernando Chamber of Commerce, Canoga Park Chamber of Commerce, San Fernando Valley Business and Professional Association, January 11, 1972

Application of John M. Shelton and Lawrence E. Wayte for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 10, 1972

[Angelita Garcia ... vs. Edmund G. Brown, Jr. ...] Motion for Leave to Intervene; Petition for Writ of Mandate and Declaratory Relief; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, January 12, 1972

Exhibits [Index]

Exhibits Numbered A----P [Exhibit I missing]

Related Documents

Exhibit BB and BB-1 [Not listed in Index]

Letter to Chief Justice re ... SAC 7919 from City Council of Riverside, January 13, 1972

Application of the City of Petaluma and the City of Sonoma for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 13, 1972

Application of John M. Shelton and Lawrence E. Wayte for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 13, 1972

Petition of the City Council of the City of Downey and Its Members as Registered Voters and Taxpayers, for Leave to Intervene as Respondents, Supporting Declaration and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to the Issuance of a Writ of Mandate, January 13, 1972

Return to Petition for Writ of Mandate by Way of Answer and Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, January 13, 1972

Application of Michael Halliwell for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 14, 1972

Application of Board of Supervisors of Riverside County for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 14, 1972

George H. Murphy, Legislative Counsel, and Herman F. Selvin Special Counsel

David J. Levy, City Attorney

David J. Levy, City Attorney

Nathaniel Bates, [Mayor of Richmond], and Samuel V. McGrath, Deputy City Attorney

LaFollette, Johnson, Horgan and Robinson

Chinello, Chinello and Maddy

Cruz Reynoso, et al.

John Woodhead, City Attorney

William T. Bagley and Arthur V. Azevedo

Royal M. Sorensen, City Attorney, and Burke, Williams and Sorensen

Evelle J. Younger, Attorney General

Michael Halliwell

Ray T. Sullivan, Jr., County Counsel
Application of the City of Redwood City, a Municipal Corporation of the State of California, the Council of the City of Redwood City, and James M. Fales, Jr., Manager of the City of Redwood City, for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 14, 1972

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court re SAC 7919 [Volume I of Brown Brief], January 14, 1972

Brief of Petitioner Edmund G. Brown, Jr., [Volume I] [maps, tables] January 14, 1972

See January 17 for Volume II.

Application of Board of Supervisors, County of San Mateo, California, for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 13, 1972

Application of the City of South Gate, a Municipal Corporation, for Permission to File a Brief as Amicus Curiae, and Brief, January 13, 1972

Declarations of Michael B. Berman on Corrections of Legislature's Apportionment Plan, and Memorandum in Support, January 13, 1972

Application of County of San Joaquin, a Political Subdivision of the State of California, and City of Stockton, a Municipal Corporation, for Permission to File Brief as Amici Curiae, and Brief, January 14, 1972

Application of John Philip Coghlan and the Town of Hillsborough, California, for Permission to File Brief as Amici Curiae, and Brief, January 14, 1972

Application of Roy Mespelt, Richard H. Bartke, Frank Cortese, Jr., and Kenneth A. Berndt, to File Brief as Amici Curiae, and Brief, January 14, 1972


Application for Permission to File a Brief Amici Curiae, January 17, 1972

Application of Charles W. Yetter for Permission to File Brief as Amicus Curiae and Brief of Amicus Curiae, January 17, 1972

Application of State Senators Joseph Kennick, Albert Rodda and Alan Short for Leave to File a Brief Amicus Curiae (Together with Supporting Declaration) in Support of the Petitioner, January 17, 1972

Brief, Amicus Curiae, of John L. Burton, Member of the Assembly of the Legislature of the State of California, in Support of Petitioner, January 17, 1972

Brief Amicus Curiae of Senators Kennick, Rodda and Short, Together with Declaration of Alan G. Rosin in Support of the Petitioner, January 17, 1972
Brief of Petitioner Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Volume II, January 17, 1972

See January 14, 1972 for Volume I

Declaration of Michael B. Berman on the Consequences of the Third Michael B. Berman Count for the Equal Population Requirement of Congressional and Assembly Reapportionment, January 19, 1972

Motion for Leave to Intervene; by T. Jim Gibson, The Wall Street Political Association, January 17, 1972

Supplemental Brief of Assemblymen Monagan and Stull, Declarations in Support Thereof, and Exhibits, January 17, 1972

Exhibit B

Exhibit F, Part 2

Exhibit G, Part 2

Letter to Chief Justice from City Council of Downey [requesting] "leave of Court to Withdraw Their Petition for Leave to Intervene," January 21, 1972

[Denial of] the Motion of Angelita Garcia et al. for Leave to Intervene, n.d.

SACRAMENTO 7923

MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, et al., Petitioners,

v.

RONALD REAGAN, as Governor of the State of California, et al., Respondents

Members of Congress v. Reagan [handwritten list]

Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, January 5, 1972

Exhibit "A" to Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof (California Legislature -- 1971 1st Extraordinary Session, Assembly Bill No. 16), n.d.

Letter to Chief Justice re SAC. 7923 [re Consolidation], January 11, 1972

Return to Petition for Writ of Mandate by Way of Answer [re Wilson Riles as Respondent], January 14, 1972

Return to Petition for Writ of Mandamus by Way of Answer and Points and Authorities in Support Thereof, January 14, 1972

Application to Participate as Amicus Curiae, January 14, 1972 [Robert L. Galloway, Phillip McNuff and Ronald Clause]

Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Mandamus, January 17, 1972

Application to Participate as Amicus Curiae, February 16, 1972 [in opposition to petitioners]

SACRAMENTO 7917

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA et al., Petitioners,

v.

ED REINECKE, as Lieutenant Governor of the State of California, et al., Respondents.

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., Secretary of State of the State of California, Petitioner,

v.

RONALD REAGAN, Governor of the State of California, Respondent.

Consolidated Action from 1/7/72 [handwritten list] [None]

Letter re [consolidation], January 7, 1972

G.E. Bishel, Clerk of the Supreme Court

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court "On Behalf of Senator John L. Harmer, Senator Fred W. Marler, Jr., and the Other Republican Members of the California State Senate" [re consolidation], January 12, 1972

Brobeck, Phleger and Harrison

Burke, Williams and Sorenson

Letter to Chief Justice for City Council of the City of Downey, January 13, 1972

Diepenbrock, Wulff, Plant and Hannegan

Evelle J. Younger, Attorney General

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court, January 14, 1972

Kaplan, Livingston, Goodwin, Berkowitz and Selvin

Evelle J. Younger, Attorney General

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court, January 14, 1972

Brobeck, Phleger and Harrison

November 14, 1972

Letter to Chief Justice "on behalf of Senators Harmer and Marler and the Other Republican Members of the State Senate," January 14, 1972

Exhibit A: The Agreed Bipartisan Senate Reapportionment Plan, Senate Bill 18 [6 volumes of legislative history and 5 maps], n.d.

Exhibit B: Senate Reapportionment Plan Proposed by Its Republican Members, Senate Bill 8 in the 1st 1971 Extraordinary Session [with 6 maps], n.d.

Application for Permission to File Brief Amicus Curiae on Behalf of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles and James S. Allison, Registrar-Recorder of the County of Los Angeles, and Brief, January 12, 1972

Edward H. Gaylord January 17, 1972

George H. Murphy, Legislative Counsel, and Herman F. Selvin, Special Counsel

Brief of Petitioners, the Legislature, et al., and Intervenors Alquist, et al., in Reply to Briefs of Board of Supervisors, et al., and of Michael Halliwell as Amici Curiae, and to Petition of Angelita Garcia, et al., January 17, 1972

Thomas B. Hofeller

Declaration of Ed Reinecke [re Compass Systems, Inc. Plan], January 17, 1972

W.N. Durley
SACRAMENTO 7917

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Petitioners,
v.

ED REINECKE, as Lieutenant Governor of the State, etc., et al., Respondents.

SACRAMENTO 7919

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., as Secretary of State, etc., Petitioner,
v.

RONALD REAGAN, as Governor of the State of California, Respondent.

SACRAMENTO 7923

MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, et al., Petitioners,
v.

RONALD REAGAN, as Governor of the State of California, et al., Respondents.

Consolidated Cases [handwritten list]

[ Court Order re Reapportionment ]

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court re "memorandum of costs on behalf of Senators Harmer and Marler," January 20, 1972

Memorandum of Costs on the Part of Senators John L. Harmer Fred W. Marler, January 20, 1972

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court re Memorandum of Costs on Behalf of Ed Reinecke, January 24, 1972

Memorandum of Costs on the Part of Ed Reinecke, January 24, 1972

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court re "memorandum of costs on behalf of Assemblymen Robert Monagan and John Stull," January 26, 1972

Memorandum of Costs on the Part of Assemblymen Robert Monagan and John Stull, Amici Curiae, January 26, 1972

Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supplementary Memorandum of California Rural Legal

K.K. 

Chief Justice

February 10, 1972

January 18, 1972

Brobeck, Pfleger and Harrison

February 10, 1972

Diepenbrock, Wulff, Plant and Hannegan

February 10, 1972

John V. Diepenbrock

February 10, 1972

Halley and Cornell

February 10, 1972

Halley and Cornell

February 10, 1972
February 7, 1972
Opposition to Memorandum of Costs or the Part of Assemblymen Robert Monagan and John Stull, n.d.
Opposition to Memorandum of Costs on the Part of Ed Reinecke, n.d.
Opposition to Memorandum of Costs on the Part of Senators John L. Harmer and Fred W. Marler, Jr., n.d.
Memorandum of Costs on the Part of ... the Legislature ... and on the Part of Senators Alquist and Moscone [et al.], February 24, 1972

Request for Modification of Opinion from State Senate, April 28, 1972
Request by the Assembly of the Legislature of the State of California for Modification of Opinion; and. Supporting Memorandum, October 27, 1972
Request and Order for Substitution of Parties, April 11, 1973
Letter to James W. Halley [re Substitution of Parties], April 17, 1973
Brief of Congressman Paul N. McCloskey, Jr., in Response to the Report and Recommendations of the Special Masters on Reapportionment, September 28, 1973
Analysis and Critique of Special Masters on Reapportionment Recommendations and Brief in Behalf of an Alternative Plan, n.d.

Exhibit C: [Group of 12 photocopy maps, with one set of autopositive originals]

California County Subdivisions  Census County Divisions and Places
Assembly Districts
Senatorial Districts
Congressional Districts
Census Tract Outline Map of San Diego County
Assembly Districts
Senatorial Districts
Congressional Districts
Census Tract Outline Map of the [San Francisco] Bay Area and Vicinity
Assembly Districts
Senatorial Districts
Congressional Districts
Census Tract Outline Map of the Los Angeles Five County Area
Maps [numbered 1----12 [with markings]

[Statistical Lists]

Masters' District Registration

Assembly District Registration

Senate District Registration

Congress District Registration

Census Tracts Making up Assembly District 37 as Proposed by the Masters of the California Supreme Court, etc.

[Envelope containing 8 statistical lists]

See also Register of Official Records No. 11

Amicus Curiae Brief in Opposition to Special Masters' Report and Recommendations on Reapportionment [for Imperial County], n.d. James H. Harmon, County Counsel, et al. October 2, 1973

Brief Filed by the City Attorney of the City of Glendale, State of California, on Behalf of the City of Glendale as Amicus Curiae in the Above Entitled Action Opposition to a Portion of the Report and Recommendations of Special Masters on Reapportionment September 28, 1973

Joseph W. Rainville, City Attorney October 2, 1973

Brief of Board of Supervisors of Riverside County as Amicus Curiae on Report of Special Masters on Reapportionment, September 28, 1973

Ray T. Sullivan, Jr., County Counsel October 2, 1973

Letter to the Clerk of the Supreme Court re "Brief of the California State Senate," October 2, 1973

Brobeck, Phleger and Harrison October 2, 1973

Brief of the California State Senate, September 28, 1973

Robert S. Daggett October 2, 1973

Material Accompanying Brief of California State Senate, n.d.

Reid, Babbage and Coil October 2, 1973

Brief for Congressman Victor V. Veysey in Opposition to a Portion of the Masters' Report, October 1, 1973

John J. Miller, Assemblyman October 4, 1973

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court re Exception and Petition for Modification, John J. Miller, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Western Region, October 2, 1973

Tuttle and Taylor

Letter to Clerk of the Supreme Court re Objections and Recommended Revisions of the Woodland Hills Residents Association, et al., October 17, 1973

Antonio Rossman

Objections and Recommended Revisions of Woodland Hills Residents Association, Tarzana Property Owners Association, Calabasas Chamber of Commerce, and Save Old Calabasas Committee to Special Masters Proposed 38th and 43rd Assembly Districts, n.d.

Exhibit C: [map]

Letter from County of Ventura Suggesting Alterations to Masters' Plan, October 23, 1973

Monty C. Lish, County Executive

3 Maps [originals and photographs]

Assembly District 36: Ventura County Plan

Assembly Districts 36, 37, 38: Masters Plan
Senate Plan

[Map of Coronado with proposed alterations to Masters' Plan]

[Map of Assembly districts, northern Alameda County with proposed alterations to Masters' Plan]

Los Angeles-Long Beach Area, Masters Reapportionment Proposal for the 29th Senatorial District, and the 47th and 48th Assembly Districts; Proposed Realignment of the 47th and 48th Assembly Districts, [map with markings], n.d.

[City of Coronado]

Senators Petris and Holmdahl

[None]
Section 3. Office Files and Working Collection

This section consists of the following:

Office Files, Miscellaneous, listed alphabetically by the headings established in the Masters' Office.
Office Files, By Place, listed alphabetically by locality.
Working Papers, that is, materials produced by the staff in the course of its work, listed as individual items.

Contents of the office files received in the original folders set up by the Masters' staff are not listed by individual item.

Office Files - Miscellaneous

- Bus schedules [includes local airlines]
- Census information
- Census order data
- Communications re reapportionment (letters, resolutions, etc.) [arranged by month, June through November 1973]
- Criteria research file
- Criteria research, correspondence
- Hearings [May through August 1973, correspondence related to arrangements for hearings, expressions of approval, disapproval, etc.]
- Hearings - requests for notice and rules
- Hearings - request for oral presentation [indexed alphabetically and geographical listing supplied]
- Miscellaneous correspondence
- Other states, special masters reports
- Parties [lists of parties to suits to be notified, etc.]
- Population - subdivision
- Populations AD and CD [Assembly districts and Congressional districts]
- State highway traffic
- Tape timings
- Tracts to split - Congress

Office Files - by Place

Central California - newspaper circulation
Northern California - newspaper circulation
Southern California - newspaper circulation

Counties:

Alameda
Contra Costa
Fresno
Kern
Los Angeles
Los Angeles - newspaper circulation
Marin
Monterey
Orange
Placer
Riverside
Sacramento
Sacramento newspaper circulation
Sacramento county
San Bernardino
San Diego
San Diego newspaper circulation
San Francisco
San Joaquin
San Mateo
Santa Barbara
Santa Clara
Solano
Sonoma
Stanislaus
Tulare
Ventura

Working Papers

These have been put into 1 manila envelope, marked "Working papers"

Master's Plan Data Sheet, Congressional Districts, State Senate Districts, Assembly Districts [Masters' political analysis of their own plan]

Memorandum to Special Masters: Criteria for Consideration in Redistricting, Gordon E. Baker, May 24, 1973

Memorandum, Part II: Practical Considerations, Gordon E. Baker, Consultant, n.d.

Meeting of the Special Masters, May 23, 1973, San Francisco, California [minutes], Paul L. McKaskle, Chief Counsel, n.d.

Computer Tape

Tape of Final Data Used on the Computer by the Special Masters on Reapportionment, with Letter of Transmittal (December 31, 1973) [no coding guide; for explanatory correspondence see IGS Library office file]

Maps

A. Census Tracts County of Los Angeles, April 1, 1970 U.S. Census [with plastic overlay of Masters' final Assembly districts]
B. Census Tracts County of Los Angeles, April 1, 1970 U.S. Census [with plastic overlay showing divisions created by Special Masters for ease of description "hunks and chunks"]
C. [Los Angeles area with Masters' provisional markings for Congressional districts]
D. Members of Congress Plan [with markings]
    Census Tract Outline, Los Angeles Five County Area
    Census Tract Outline, San Diego County
    Census Tract Outline, [San Francisco] Bay Area and Vicinity
E. [4 plastic overlays, copies of Members of Congress Plan maps made by the Masters; 2 copies marked "Revised Members of Congress Plan"]
F. Orange County, Western Section [with Masters' markings for Congressional districts]
G. [San Diego County, plastic overlay showing Masters' final markings for Assembly districts; for use with Western Economic Research base map]
H. [San Francisco] Bay and River Area [mounted with Masters' final markings for Assembly districts]
    [Duplicate plastic overlay]
Materials Ordered by or Presented to the Masters

All materials which could not be positively identified have been included with as complete a description as possible.

Section 4. Miscellaneous

A considerable body of miscellaneous materials accumulated by the Masters is listed in this section. Some items were requested by them others were not requested or used in preparing the apportionment plan.

All materials which could not be positively identified have been included with as complete a description as possible.

Materials Ordered by or Presented to the Masters

2. Assembly Plan as Proposed in S.B. 195 [computer printout], May/June 1973?
3. [Assembly Computer Analysis of Masters' Plan, Assembly, Senate and Congress], September 1973?
4. [Assembly Representation of Masters' Assembly Redistricting Proposal, tables and maps]
5. Bills Authored and Coauthored by Assemblymen, 1965 General Session [mounted chart]
6. Berman. [Computer Analysis of Masters' Senate Plan], n.d.
7. Carney, Francis M. Letter to Chief Clerk, January 17, 1972
   Carney, Francis M. Address to Chief Justice, January 17, 1972
8. Changes in Apportionment, County of Los Angeles and City and County of San Francisco, 1890-1950 [mounted chart]
10. Current Assembly Districts [tables, map], n.d.
11. [Group of printouts]
   Modified SB 195 Plan Presented by Assembly Minority Leadership
   Modified SB 195 Plan, Alternate A, Presented by Assembly Minority Leadership
   Modified SB 195 Plan, Alternate B, Presented by Assembly Minority Leadership
12. Harmer, John L. Letter to All Senators re Reapportionment [marked "EX 1"], January 29, 1973
13. Senate Bill no. 18, Amended in Assembly November 19, 1971 ... Introduced by Senator Dymally [marked 'Exhibit C']
14. Senate Committee on Elections, Transcript of Hearings, AB 280, 281, and 540, Prepared by the Assembly Committee on Elections and Reapportionment, Assemblyman Robert W. Crown, Chairman, Friday, June 2, 1961
15. U.S. Census Bureau. Revision Sheets [final population data used by the Masters]
   Maps
16. Assembly District, 1st---80th, Chapter 3, 1965, Second Extraordinary Session
17. Assembly Districts, Assembly Bill no. 12

[California State]
Sacramento and Vicinity
Greater San Jose Southern Area
Greater San Jose Northern Area
East Bay Cities (Northern Section)
East Bay Cities (Southern Section)
San Francisco
San Francisco [Peninsula]
Fresno and Kings Counties
Fresno, Clovis, Fowler and Vicinity
Kern County
Metropolitan Los Angeles, San Fernando Valley Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, San Gabriel Valley Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, Central Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, Southern Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, Pomona Valley Area
Orange County
Cities of San Bernardino-Redlands
City of Riverside
San Diego County
San Diego County area

California County Subdivisions - Census County Divisions and Places
California the Golden State [relief map]

[Plastic overlays]
1961 Assembly Districts
Senate Districts As Proposed in A.B. 1978 As Last Amended in Assembly
Senate Districts As Proposed in S.B. 6 As Last Amended in Senate

Census Tracts 1970, Ventura County, Western Los Angeles County
Congressional Districts, A.B. 16 [rolled]

Metropolitan Sacramento Eastern Area
Metropolitan Sacramento Western Area
Contra Costa County
Richmond, El Cerrito, San Pablo, Pinole, El Sobrante and Vicinity
Oakland, Berkeley, Alameda, Albany-Piedmont, Emeryville
San Francisco
Hayward, San Leandro, San Lorenzo, Castro Valley and Vicinity
Greater San Jose Northern Area
Metropolitan San Jose Eastern Area
Greater San Jose Southern Area
Daly City, South San Francisco, San Bruno, Pacifica, Millbrae, Brisbane, Colma
San Mateo, Burlingame, Belmont, Hillsborough, Foster City
Palo Alto and Vicinity...
Redwood City, San Carlos, Portola Valley, Woodside
[Modesto Area]
Merced County
San Luis Obispo County
Santa Barbara County
Ventura County
[Bakersfield Area]
San Fernando Valley Area
San Gabriel Valley Area
Central Area Metropolitan Los Angeles
Southern Area Metropolitan Los Angeles
Orange County
Cities of San Bernardino-Redlands
Pomona Valley Area, Metropolitan Los Angeles
San Diego County
San Diego Area
City of Riverside

Contra Costa County, California, 1972 Official Map


Cities, Communities and Freeways in the Los Angeles Five County Area [plastic overlay for use with Western
Economic Research base map

24. [Group of Maps, folded]

Isleton
Sacramento County Elementary and High School Districts
Sacramento
Folsom
Galt

25. [Group of 4 Maps with markings., folded], S.B. 62, corrected July 5, 1973

San Diego County
Census Tracts 1970, Greater Los Angeles Area
California
San Francisco Bay, 1970 Census Tracts

26. [Group of maps in envelope, with markings and notation "Commission I"]

California, Northern Half
California, Southern Half
Contra Costa County, Western Section
Fresno County and Part of Kings County
Metropolitan Los Angeles, Central Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, Pomona Valley Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, San Fernando Valley Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, San Gabriel Valley Area
Metropolitan Los Angeles, Southern Area
Los Angeles and Vicinity
Oakland, Adjacent Cities and Southern Alameda County
Orange County and Vicinity
Sacramento
Riverside County and City of Riverside
San Diego and Vicinity
San Diego County and Cities of Oceanside, Carlsbad, Escondido
San Francisco Peninsula, Central Section
San Francisco and Northern Peninsula
San Jose
Stockton
Santa Barbara
Ventura County and Cities of Santa Paula, Ventura, Oxnard

27. [Group of maps in box]

Ridgecrest City
Maricopa City
Shafter City
Taft City
Tehachapi City
Wasco City
Arvin City
California City
Delano City
McFarland City
Delano Union School District
West Kern Community College District
Bakersfield Area Political Boundaries -- Map to Date, September 12, 1972; Precincts, Assembly Districts, Congressional Districts, Judicial Districts, Supervisorial Districts
Congressional Districts and Precinct Detail Map, February 1, 1972, California A.B. 16, December 7, 1972; Congressional Districts and Precincts of Kern County, February 1, 1972, California A.B. 16, December 7, 1971 [sic]
S.B. 195, Amended June 4, 1973 [California and detail for larger cities or counties]
28. 1970 Census Tracts, City of San Diego and Vicinity, Prepared by City Planning Department
29. [Orange County] Census Tracts, April 1, 1970 -- U.S. Census, Prepared from Bureau of the Census Descriptions by the Orange County Planning Department
30. Precinct Index Map, First----Fifth Contra Costa County Supervisorial District [folded]
31. Preliminary Census Tracts, County of Los Angeles, April 1, 1970 (Regional Planning Commission)
32. Riverside County, Election Precincts
   School Districts
   Judicial Districts
   Supervisorial Districts

33. S.B. 2 Senatorial Districts, Los Angeles County, Passed December 20, 1971
   San Mateo County, California [with markings of incorporated cities and LAFCOassigned spheres of influence]
   San Mateo County, California [population]
   San Mateo County, 1970 Census Tracts [plastic overlay]

34. Senatorial Districts, Chapter 3, 1965 Extraordinary Session
35. Senatorial Districts S.B. 2
   California
   San Francisco and Vicinity
   Santa Clara County
   Sacramento and Vicinity
   San Diego and Vicinity
   Orange County

36. Shasta County, California [partial, 3 sheets numbered] 1, 2 and 3 of 6
37. [Stanislaus County with markings, numbered pages] 2----17